Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9378 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 16:09:55 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 16

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07) EDVA

| ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEET (Instructions on Reverse) | | ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (Court Use Only) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| PLAINTIFFS | DEFENDANTS | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.) | ATTORNEYS (If Known) | | | | |
| PARTY (Check One Box Only) □ Debtor □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin □ Creditor □ Other □ Trustee | PARTY (C Debtor Creditor Trustee | Check One Box Only) □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin □ Other | | | |
| CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE OF ACTION, INCLUDING ALL U.S. STATUTES INVOLVED) NATURE OF SUIT (Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1, first alternative cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.) | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property 11-Recovery of money/property - \$542 turnover of property 12-Recovery of money/property - \$547 preference 13-Recovery of money/property - \$548 fraudulent transfer 14-Recovery of money/property - other | FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability (continued) 61-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(5), domestic support 68-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury 63-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(8), student loan 64-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation | | | | |
| FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien 21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property | (other than domestic support) 65-Dischargeability - other | | | | |
| FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property ☐ 31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h) | FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief 71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay 72-Injunctive relief – other | | | | |
| FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge 41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e) | FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest 81-Subordination of claim or interest | | | | |
| FRBP 7001(5) − Revocation of Confirmation 51-Revocation of confirmation | FRBP 7001(9) Declaratory Judgment 91-Declaratory judgment | | | | |
| FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability ☐ 66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims ☐ 62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud ☐ 67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny (continued next column) | Other SS-SIPA | D) Determination of Removed Action mination of removed claim or cause Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa et.seq. (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court | | | |
| i i | | elated to bankruptcy case) | | | |
| ☐ Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law ☐ Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint | Demand \$ | this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23 | | | |
| Other Relief Sought | | | | | |

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9378 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 16:09:55 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 16

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07), Page 2

| BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| NAME OF DEBTOR | | BANKRUPTCY CASE NO. | | |
| DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING | | DIVISION OFFICE | NAME OF JUDGE | |
| RELATED A | DVERSARY I | PROCEEDING (IF ANY) | | |
| PLAINTIFF | DEFENDANT | Γ | ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO. | |
| DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDIN | lG | DIVISION OFFICE | NAME OF JUDGE | |
| SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF) | | | | |
| DATE | | PRINT NAME OF ATTORNE | Y (OR PLAINTIFF) | |

INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also complete and file Form 104, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, *unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 104 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and **Defendants.** Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

*Per LBR 7003-1, in the EDVA, a properly completed Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet is required.

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq. Andrew W. Caine, Esq. (admitted pro hac vice) PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90067-4100 Telephone: (310) 277-6910

Telecopy: (310) 201-0760

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq. John A. Morris, Esq. (admitted pro hac vice) PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor New York, New York 10017 Telephone: (212) 561-7700 Telecopy: (212) 561-7777

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee

Lynn L. Tavenner, Esq. (VA Bar No. 30083) Paula S. Beran, Esq. (VA Bar No. 34679) TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC 20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219 Telephone: (804) 783-8300 Telecopy: (804) 783-0178

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION

| In re: | Case No. 08-35653 (KRH) |
|--|-------------------------------|
| CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., et al., |) Chapter 11 |
| Debtors. |)) (Jointly Administered) |
| ALFRED H. SIEGEL, AS TRUSTEE OF THE CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC. LIQUIDATING TRUST, |))) Adv. Pro. No. 10 |
| Plaintiff, |)) |
| V. |) |
| PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY, DURACELL INTERNATIONAL INC., and DURACELL, INC., individually and/or jointly, dba PROCTER & GAMBLE DISTRIBUTION COMPANY, PROCTER & GAMBLE DIST COMPANY, and DURACELL COMPANY USA |)))) |
| Defendant. | |

THE LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE'S COMPLAINT TO AVOID AND RECOVER PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS AND OTHER AMOUNTS OWING TO THE ESTATE AND OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 726

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9378 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 16:09:55 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 16

Alfred H. Siegel, the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the "Trustee"), pursuant to the Second Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation of Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors and Debtors in Possession and its Official Committee of Creditors Holding General Unsecured Claims (the "Plan"), for his complaint and for his objection to Proof of Claim No. 726 (the "Complaint") against Procter & Gamble Company, Duracell International Inc., and Duracell, Inc., individually and/or jointly, dba Procter & Gamble Distribution Company, Procter & Gamble Dist Company and Duracell Company USA (collectively, "Defendant"), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

and owing from Defendant to Circuit City arising from the relationship between the parties. In addition to contractual claims, the Trustee seeks recovery for unjust enrichment and to avoid and recover certain preferential transfers that occurred during the 90-day period prior to the commencement of Circuit City's bankruptcy proceedings, as well as turnover of property of the bankruptcy estates. Finally, the Trustee objects to Defendant's proof of claim filed against the Debtors' estates.

THE PARTIES

2. The Trustee ("Trustee" or "Plaintiff") is the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the "Trust"). Pursuant to Articles II and III of the Trust, the Trustee has the sole authority to pursue claims transferred to the Trust by the Debtors through the Plan, and to litigate objections to claims asserted against the Debtors' estates.

- 3. Prior to the Effective Date of the Plan, Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its affiliated debtors in possession (collectively "Circuit City" or the "Debtors") were corporations that maintained their respective principal places of business in the locations set forth below, and were the debtors in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Procter & Gamble Company is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Ohio with its principal place of business in Cincinnati, Ohio. Upon information and belief, Duracell International Inc. and Duracell, Inc. are each corporations organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business in Berkshire, Connecticut.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334.
 - 6. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).
- 7. Venue of these chapter 11 cases and this adversary proceeding in this district and before this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

The Debtors and the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers are as follows: Circuit City Stores, Inc. (3875), Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. (0785), InterTAN, Inc. (0875), Ventoux International, Inc. (1838), Circuit City Purchasing Company, LLC (5170), CC Aviation, LLC (0841), CC Distribution Company of Virginia, Inc. (2821), Circuit City Properties, LLC (3353), Kinzer Technology, LLC (2157), Abbott Advertising Agency, Inc. (4659), Patapsco Designs, Inc. (6796), Sky Venture Corp. (0311), PRAHS, Inc. (n/a), XSStuff, LLC (9263), Mayland MN, LLC (6116), Courchevel, LLC (n/a), Orbyx Electronics, LLC (3360), and Circuit City Stores PR, LLC (5512). The address for Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. is 9250 Sheridan Boulevard, Westminster, Colorado 80031. For all other Debtors, the address was 9950 Mayland Drive, Richmond, Virginia 23233 and currently is 4951 Lake Brook Drive, Glen Allen, VA 23060.

8. The statutory and legal predicates for the relief requested by the Complaint are sections 105, 502, 503, 541, 542, 547, 550, and 558 of title 11, United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Bankruptcy Rules 3007 and 7001, and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3007-1.

PERTINENT FACTS

A. General Case Background

- 9. On November 10, 2008 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions in this Court for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and until the effective date of the Plan, continued to operate as debtors in possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107 and 1108.
- 10. On November 12, 2008, the Office of the United States Trustee for the Eastern District of Virginia appointed a statutory committee of unsecured creditors (the "Creditors' Committee").
- 11. On January 16, 2009, the Court authorized the Debtors to, among other things, conduct going out of business sales at all of the Debtors' retail locations (the "Stores") pursuant to an agency agreement (the "Agency Agreement") between the Debtors and a joint venture, as agent (the "Agent"). On January 17, 2009, the Agent commenced going out of business sales at the Stores pursuant to the Agency Agreement. As of March 8, 2009, the going out of business sales at the Debtors' stores were completed.
- 12. On August 9, 2010, the Debtors and the Creditors' Committee filed the Plan, which provides for the liquidation of the Debtors' assets and distribution of the proceeds thereof under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

- On September 10, 2010, the United States Bankruptcy Court, EasternDistrict of Virginia, signed an Order confirming the Plan.
 - 14. The Plan became effective on November 1, 2010 (the "Effective Date").

B. The Business Relationship Between Circuit City and Defendant

- 15. Prior to the commencement of these bankruptcy cases, the Debtors were a leading specialty retailer of consumer electronics and operated large nationwide electronics stores that sold, among other things, televisions, home theatre systems, computers, camcorders, furniture, software, imaging and telecommunications products, and other audio and video electronics.
- 16. On or about May 1, 2005, Circuit City and Defendant entered into an agreement pursuant to which Defendant sold goods to Circuit City (the "Agreement").
- 17. During the course of the parties' relationship, the parties engaged in numerous transactions pursuant to the Agreement, that are reflected in invoices, communications and other documents (collectively, the "Defendant's Agreements").
- 18. Circuit City and Defendant conducted business with one another up to and through the Petition Date, and thereafter, under the Defendant's Agreements.
- 19. During the ordinary course of the Debtors' business, the Debtors maintained books and records of their transactions with Defendant (the "Books and Records").

C. Amounts Allegedly Owed to Defendant and Defendant's Proof of Claim

(1) <u>Claim No. 726</u>

- 20. On or about December 12, 2008, Defendant filed a claim in the amount \$0 as a claim allegedly entitled to priority status under Bankruptcy Code section 503(b)(9). The Claims Agent appointed by the Court designated this claim as Claim No. 726 (the "Claim").
- 21. In the Claim, Defendant alleges that the claim was based on the sales of certain goods by Defendant to Circuit City during the twenty-day period prior to the Petition Date.
- 22. Pursuant to the Court's *Order on Debtors' Sixth Omnibus Objection to*Certain Misclassified Non-Goods 503(b)(9) Claims [Docket No. 4012], the Claim was reclassified as a general unsecured claim, non-priority claim.

D. Amounts Owed to Circuit City by Defendant

23. As of the date hereof, on account of the pre- and post-petition business conducted between Defendant and Circuit City pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements or otherwise, Defendant is indebted to Circuit City on account of the following unpaid obligations (the "Unpaid Obligations") in at least the following amounts:

| TOTAL | \$37,111.65 | |
|-------------|-------------|--|
| Billbacks | \$27,111.65 | |
| Chargebacks | \$10,000.00 | |

24. The Unpaid Obligations are due, owing, and payable by Defendant to Circuit City.

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9378 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 16:09:55 Desc Main Document Page 9 of 16

25. Circuit City has made demand for \$30,000 of the Unpaid Obligations, but Defendant has not complied, and has not paid any portion of the Unpaid Obligations.

E. <u>Transfers to Defendant Made Within 90 Days Prior to the Petition Date</u>

- 26. During the 90-day period prior to the commencement of Circuit City's bankruptcy cases (the "Preference Period"), Circuit City transferred property to or for the benefit of Defendant in an amount not less than \$305,969.04. A list identifying each and every transfer during the Preference Period is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u> and incorporated herein by reference (collectively, the "Preferential Transfers").
- 27. Plaintiff acknowledges that some of the Preferential Transfers might be subject to defenses under Bankruptcy Code section 547(c), for which the Defendant bears the burden of proof under Section 547(g). Plaintiff will work with Defendant to exchange applicable information in an effort to resolve any and all factual issues with respect to potential defenses.

COUNT I

AVOIDANCE OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 547(b)

- 28. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 29. During the Preference Period, Defendant was a creditor of one or more of the Debtors.
- 30. Each Preferential Transfer identified on Exhibit A hereto was made to or for the benefit of Defendant.

- 31. Each Preferential Transfer was made for or on account of an antecedent debt or debts owed by one or more of the Debtors before such Preferential Transfers were made.
 - 32. Each Preferential Transfer was made during the Preference Period.
 - 33. Each Preferential Transfer was made while the Debtors were insolvent.
- 34. Each Preferential Transfer enabled Defendant to receive more than Defendant would have received if (i) the Debtors' chapter 11 cases were instead cases under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code; (ii) the transfers and/or payments had not been made; and (iii) Defendant received payment on account of the debt paid by the Preferential Transfers to the extent provided by the Bankruptcy Code.
- 35. Each Preferential Transfer constitutes an avoidable preference pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 547(b).

COUNT II

RECOVERY OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 550

- 36. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 37. Defendant was either (i) the initial transferee of the Preferential Transfers, (ii) the entity for whose benefit the Preferential Transfers were made, or (iii) an immediate or mediate transferee of the Preferential Transfers.
- 38. Each Preferential Transfer that is avoided under Section 547(b) is recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550.

39. Subject to potential defenses, the Trustee is entitled to recover the value of the Preferential Transfers pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550(a).

COUNT III

BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 40. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 41. Pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements, Defendant is obligated to pay to the Debtors the Unpaid Obligations as and for chargebacks and billbacks in connection with goods previously delivered by Defendants to Debtors under the Defendant's Agreements, as set forth in Paragraph 23, supra.
- 42. The Defendant's Agreements are valid and enforceable agreements against Defendant.
- 43. The Debtors performed their obligations under the Defendant's Agreements with respect to the Unpaid Obligations.
- 44. Defendant's failure to compensate the Debtors for the Unpaid Obligations constitutes a material breach of Defendant's obligations under the Defendant's Agreements.
- 45. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breaches, the Debtors incurred damages in an amount not less than \$37,111.65.
- 46. Accordingly, the Trustee is entitled to a judgment against Defendant in an amount not less than \$37,111.65.

COUNT IV

UNJUST ENRICHMENT/QUASI CONTRACT

- 47. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 48. In the alternative to Count III, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count IV.
- 49. The Debtors conferred a benefit upon Defendant pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements, by agreeing to purchase various goods from Defendant pursuant thereto.
 - 50. Defendant knowingly accepted the benefit conferred by the Debtors.
- 51. The Debtors reasonably expected to be compensated by Defendant in an amount not less than \$37,111.65 on account of the benefit conferred upon Defendant.
- 52. Defendant's receipt of benefit without just compensation to the Debtors has unjustly enriched Defendant in an amount not less than \$37,111.65.
- 53. The Trustee has no adequate remedy at law to recover the Unpaid Obligations.
- 54. Accordingly, as a result of Defendant's unjust enrichment at the Debtors' expense, the Trustee is entitled to restitution from the Defendant in an amount not less than \$37,111.65.

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9378 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 16:09:55 Desc Main Document Page 13 of 16

COUNT V

TURNOVER OF PROPERTY PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 542

- 55. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 56. In the alternative to Counts III and IV, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count V.
- 57. Defendant is in possession, custody, and control of the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$37,111.65.
 - 58. Defendant is not a custodian for the Unpaid Obligations.
- 59. The Unpaid Obligations constitute valid and existing debts, due and owing by Defendant to the Debtors.
- 60. The Unpaid Obligations are property of the Debtors' estates under section 541 of the Bankruptcy Code and constitute debts that are matured, payable on demand, or payable on order.
- 61. Despite being requested to do so, Defendant has not turned over or paid to Circuit City the Unpaid Obligations.
- 62. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 542, Defendant should be compelled to immediately turn over and deliver to the Trustee the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$37,111.65.

COUNT VI

OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 726 – DISALLOWANCE UNDER SECTION 502(d)

- 63. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 64. The Claim asserts a right to payment allegedly owed by one or more of the Debtors.
- 65. As alleged above, each Preferential Transfer constitutes an avoidable preference pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 547(b), which is recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550.
- 66. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 502(d), the Claim must be disallowed unless and until Defendant pays to the Trustee an amount equal to each Preferential Transfer that is avoided.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests and prays that the Court:

- i. Pursuant to Counts I and II, enter judgment against Defendant under 11 U.S.C. §§ 547 and 550 and allow the Trustee to avoid and recover the Preferential Transfers in an amount not less than \$305,969.04; and
- ii. Pursuant to Count III, enter judgment against Defendant for breach of contract and award the Trustee damages in an amount not less than \$37,111.65; and
- iii. In the alternative to Count III, pursuant to Count IV, enter judgment against Defendant for unjust enrichment and award Circuit City restitution damages on account of Defendant's unjust enrichment in an amount not less than \$37,111.65; and
- iv. In the alternative to Counts III and IV, pursuant to Count V, order Defendant to immediately turn over and deliver to the Trustee the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$37,111.65; and

- v. Pursuant to Count VI, disallow the Claim in accordance with Bankruptcy Code section 502(d) pending payment by Defendant to the Trustee of any avoidable and recoverable transfers; and
- vi. Award the Trustee prejudgment interest at the legally allowed applicable rate;
 - vii. Award the Trustee costs, and expenses of suit herein; and

viii. Grant the Trustee such other and further relief the Court deems just and appropriate.

Dated: Richmond, Virginia November 10, 2010 TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC

/s/ Lynn L. Tavenner

Lynn L. Tavenner (VA Bar No. 30083)
Paula S. Beran (VA Bar No. 34679)
20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor
Richmond, Virginia 23219
(804) 783-8300

- and -

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq. Andrew W. Caine, Esq. 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90067-4100 (310) 277-6910

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq. John A. Morris, Esq. PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor New York, New York 10017 (212) 561-7700

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee

EXHIBIT A

(Preferential Transfers)

PROCTER & GAMBLE DIST COMPANY Preference Analysis - Payment detail Exhibit A

| Vendor Name | Check Clear Date | Check Number | Paid Amount |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| PROCTER & GAMBLE DIST COMPANY | 8/19/2008 | 1033772 | \$ 13,859.00 |
| PROCTER & GAMBLE DIST COMPANY | 8/25/2008 | 1033868 | \$ 41,997.38 |
| PROCTER & GAMBLE DIST COMPANY | 9/2/2008 | 1034200 | \$ 7,140.08 |
| PROCTER & GAMBLE DIST COMPANY | 9/8/2008 | 1034641 | \$ 5,893.32 |
| PROCTER & GAMBLE DIST COMPANY | 9/12/2008 | 1034749 | \$ 36,298.48 |
| PROCTER & GAMBLE DIST COMPANY | 9/15/2008 | 1034864 | \$ 19,457.52 |
| PROCTER & GAMBLE DIST COMPANY | 9/22/2008 | 1035447 | \$ 14,607.92 |
| PROCTER & GAMBLE DIST COMPANY | 9/29/2008 | 1035558 | \$ 40,299.16 |
| PROCTER & GAMBLE DIST COMPANY | 9/29/2008 | 1035664 | \$ 32,827.28 |
| PROCTER & GAMBLE DIST COMPANY | 10/10/2008 | 1036262 | \$ 67,622.42 |
| PROCTER & GAMBLE DIST COMPANY | 10/15/2008 | 1036526 | \$ 25,966.48 |
| Total Payment Amount | | | \$ 305,969.04 |